

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

STATE OF NEW YORK, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs

v.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 98-1233 (CKK)

ORDER

This case comes before the Court upon the filing of an “Emergency Motion for Expedited Briefing of Media Intervenors’ Motion for Leave to Intervene and Motion for Access to Five Depositions and to Deposition Transcripts.” Given the existing demands upon the parties due to the expedited schedule in this case and the numerous already-pending motions in this case which, in many instances, require the parties’ immediate attention, the Court, in its discretion, determines that the schedule proposed by Microsoft, and presumably acceptable to the non-Settling States, is sufficient. As Proposed Intervenors have indicated that they would waive a reply brief, following receipt of responsive memoranda from Microsoft and the non-Settling States,¹ the Court will expedite its ruling on Proposed Intervenors’ motions to intervene and for access. Accordingly, it is this 14th day of February, 2002, hereby

ORDERED that Proposed Intervenors’ motion for expedited briefing is DENIED; and it is further

¹If Microsoft and the non-Settling States take the same position with regard to Proposed Intervenors’ motions, they need not file separate opposition memoranda.

ORDERED that, not later than February 18, 2002, Microsoft and the non-Settling States shall file any opposition to Proposed Intervenor's motions to intervene and for access, if they have not resolved the matter among themselves.

SO ORDERED.

COLLEEN KOLLAR-KOTELLY
United States District Judge